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## Introduction

According to the theory of fluctuations in statistical mechanics, the fluctuation of an extensive quantity of a physical system is directly proportional to the number of constructive units inside the system, which we call the principle of proportionality. Nevertheless, such a system is a natural system whose constructive units are molecules without the adaptability to environmental changes due to the lack of learning ability. Here we attempt to investigate a social system whose constructive units are humans with the adaptability to environmental changes because of the presence of learning ability. For this purpose, we take a resource-allocation system as a model system. The system originates from the minority game, but can handle both unbiased and biased distributions of two resources, $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. We investigate four cases with different numbers and different types of participants. As a result, we find that the fluctuation of the extensive quantity, namely, the number of participants choosing $M_{1}$ or $M_{2}$, can also satisfy the principle of proportionality under a certain condition. As revealed, the underlying mechanism lies in the spontaneous sub-group cooperation arising from self-adaptive preference adjustment of selfish participants. It reveals a kind of universality between nature and society.

## Method

## >System A: 68 subjects

$>$ System B: 68 subjects + 55 imitators;
$>$ System C: 68 subjects +11 contrarians;
$>$ System D: 68 subjects + 55 imitators + 11 contrarians.


The most important parameter of the system is $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ( $\mathrm{i}=1$ or 2 ). Because $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is directly proportional to the total number of participants in the system, it is naturally an extensive quantity. We define the fluctuation, $\sigma^{2}$, of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ according to Eq. (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=\left\langle\left(N_{1}-\widetilde{N}_{1}\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(N_{2}-\widetilde{N}_{2}\right)^{2}\right\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\langle\left(N_{i}-\widetilde{N}_{i}\right)^{2}\right\rangle \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain the fluctuation per participant as

Definition 1: $\quad \widetilde{N}_{i}=\left\langle N_{i}\right\rangle$
Definition 2: $\quad \frac{\left\langle N_{1}\right\rangle}{\left\langle N_{2}\right\rangle}=\frac{M_{1}}{M_{2}}$
(6), $\quad \widetilde{N}_{i}=\frac{M_{i}}{M_{1}+M_{2}} N$

Agent-based model


In statistical physics, a macroscopic quantity describing a system is the average of the relevant microscopic quantity, A , over all possible microstates, $\langle\mathrm{A}\rangle$, under given macroscopic conditions. Namely, this average <A> is given by
$\langle A\rangle=\sum \rho_{s} A_{s}$
where $\rho_{s}$ is the probability when the system lies in the s-th microstate, and $A_{s}$ is the value of $A$ at the $s$ th microstate. Then, the fluctuation of A is defined as

$$
\sigma_{0}^{2}=\left\langle\left(A_{s}-\langle A\rangle\right)^{2}\right\rangle=\sum_{s} \rho_{s}\left(A_{s}-\langle A\rangle\right)^{2}
$$

According to the theory of fluctuations, it is known that the fluctuation ( $\sigma_{0}{ }^{2}$ ) of an extensive quantity (say, volume or mass) of a physical system is directly proportional to the number ( $\mathrm{n}_{0}$ ) of constructive units inside the system, i. e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{n_{0}}=c_{0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}$ is a non-zero constant. We call Eq. (3) the principle of proportionality. Nevertheless, this system is a natural system whose constructive units are molecules without the adaptability to environmental changes. The interactions between such molecules can be described by classical forces Here we attempt to raise a question: does this equation have a counterpart in social systems? We experimentally investigate four resource-allocation systems involving different numbers and different types of participants[1-3]

## Results



Figure 1. Fluctuation per participant $\sigma^{2} / \mathrm{N}$ of Systems. (a) Experiment: $\sigma^{2} / \mathrm{N}$ of Systems A, B, C, $D$ for $M_{1} / M_{2}=1$ and 3. $N_{i}$ was determined by Eq. (1). (b) Experiment: Same as (a), but $N_{i}$ was determined by Eq. (7) instead. (c) Simulation: $\sigma^{2} / N$ of Systems A, B, C, and D for $M_{1} / M_{2}=1$ and 3. (d) Simulation: Same as (c), but $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ are different. (e) Simulation: $\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}$ contour plot for $\sigma^{2} / N$ at $M_{1} / M_{2}=1$. (f) Simulation: $\sigma^{2} / N$ of System A, as a function of $N_{n}$ for $M_{1} / M_{2}=1$ and 3 .
(a) $M_{1} / M_{2}=1, \beta_{1}=0, \beta_{2}=0$

(e) $M_{1} M_{2}=3, \beta_{1}=0, \beta_{2}=0$

(b) $M_{1} / M_{2}=1, \beta_{1}=0.8, \beta_{2}=0$

(f) $M_{1} M_{2}=3, \beta_{1}=0.8, \beta_{2}=0$ System B
(C) $M_{1} / M_{2}=1, \beta_{1}=0, \beta_{2}=0.16$

(g) $M_{1} M_{2}=3, \beta_{1}=0, \beta_{2}=0.16$

(h) $M_{1} M_{2}=3, \beta_{1}=0.8, \beta_{2}=0.16$


| Preference | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) | (g) | (h) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ Normal: Room 1 | $49 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | $71.6 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ |
| $\square$ Normal: Room 2 | $51 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $42.1 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |
| Imitator: Room 1 | - | $23 \%$ | - | $20.6 \%$ | - | $35.4 \%$ | - | $35.3 \%$ |
| $\square$ Imitator: Room 2 | - | $22 \%$ | - | $20.4 \%$ | - | $9.3 \%$ | - | $5.8 \%$ |
| $\square$ Contrarian: Room 1 | - | - | $6.8 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | - | - | $0.2 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| $\square$ Contrarian: Room 2 | - | - | $7.1 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | - | - | $13.7 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |

Figure 2. The average preference of all kinds of participants for Systems A, B, C, D under two resource ratios. The red line on each pie chart is used to divide the preference to Room 1 and 2.
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